UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,346	06/05/2006	Ole Olsen	HOI-14502/16	8797
25006 7590 11/17/2009 GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C PO BOX 7021			EXAMINER	
			KURTZ, BENJAMIN M	
TROY, MI 48007-7021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/563,346	OLSEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	BENJAMIN KURTZ	1797
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTH OF THE M	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31.	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	drawn from consideration. and 131-136 is/are rejected.	application.
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 03 January 2006 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 107-110, 112-117, 119-121, 123-125, 127-136 are currently pending;

claims 1-109, 111, 118, 122 and 126 are cancelled and claims 127-130 are withdrawn.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group I in the reply filed on 8/31/09 is

acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is unity between the

inventions recited in claims 131 and 127. This is not found persuasive because the

inventions do not share one or more of the same or corresponding special technical

features. Claim 127 recites a method for utilizing a filter according to claim 131, so

claim 127 recites all of the limitations of claim 131. However, claim 131 does not have a

special technical feature as the apparatus of claim 131 does not have a technical

feature that defines a contribution which the claimed invention makes over the prior art.

Because claim 131 does not have a special technical feature, claims 131 and 127 do

not share a special technical feature and the restriction is proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Objections

Art Unit: 1797

2. Claim 134 is objected to because of the following informalities: There appears to be a typographical error in line 2 of the claim and the claim is assumed to recite "said pores". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 107-110, 112-117, 119-121, 123-125 and 131-136 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 131 and 135 recite the upstream zone being with no barrier to liquid to be filtered and claim 136 recites not providing the filter with a liquid impermeable layer around the filtration area of the layers of the first filtration medium and the layers of the spacer medium. The specification does not teach the invention must include the absence of a barrier to liquid or the absence of a liquid impermeable layer. Applicant cites pg. 43, lines 8-10 as reciting such a feature. The cited passage only teaches the liquid to be filtered enters the filtration material through the filtering area of the at least

Art Unit: 1797

one outer layer of filtration medium and/or through said edge of said at least one outer layer of filtration medium and/or between two adjacent edges of layers of filtration medium. There is no teaching of there being no barrier to liquid or an explicitly recitation of no liquid impermeable layer around the filter layers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. <u>Claims 107, 108, 110, 112, 113, 115-117, 119, 120, 125 and 131-136 are</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hunter US 2 537 897.

Claims 131 and 135, Hunter '897 teaches a filter house having at least one filter cartridge with a filter, the filter comprising: a plurality of layers of a first filtration medium, each layer having a filtration area and at least one edge, a plurality of layers of a spacer medium, each layer having a filtration area and at least one edge, wherein, the layers of the first filtration medium and spacer medium are positioned alternately and with the filtration area of the layers of first filtration medium and spacer medium faced towards each other, a downstream zone of at least one layer of first filtration medium, positioned downstream in relation to the plurality of layers of spacer medium, a most

Art Unit: 1797

upstream zone of spacer medium or filtration medium, where a most upstream filtration area or the upstream zone being with no barrier to liquid to be filtered, a first sealing (21) blocking direct entrance of fluid into at least one edge of the downstream zone, a second sealing (20) positioned upstream of the first sealing and downstream of at least one layer of the first filtration medium or the spacer medium, the second sealing blocks direct entrance of fluid into at least one edge of a layer of the first filtration medium or the spacer medium, bypass space (15) upstream of the first sealing or the second sealing to allow liquid to exit or enter the edges of the first filtration medium or the spacer medium, wherein fluid to be filtered can enter into the filter through the at least one edge of the first filtration medium (fig. 1, 3, 5, col. 1, lines 21-25, lines 45-50, col. 2, lines 19-36).

Claims 107, 108, 110, 132-134, 112, 113, 115-117, 119 and 120, Hunter '897 further teaches at least one additional sealing with a distance to the first and second sealing, and wherein the at least one additional sealing seals one or more of the edges of the layers of first filtration medium (fig. 4); the sealings are part of an end cap (4) and the end cap provides open spaces comprising bypass spaces between the sealings, such that filtered fluid can enter into the bypass spaces and further downstream in the filter can enter into the first filtration medium (fig. 5, col. 4, lines 12-25); the first filtration medium and the spacer medium have pores and the pores of the spacer medium are larger than the pores of the first filtration medium (col. 2, lines 19-36); at least one layer of a second filtration medium comprises a plurality of layers of the second filtration medium, each

Art Unit: 1797

layer having a filtration area and at least one edge, where the plurality of layers of the second filtration medium or the second spacer medium are positioned in an alternating structure with the first filtration medium and the spacer medium with the filtration area facing towards each other and the first filtration medium and the spacer medium are located in one zone of the filter and the second filtration medium is located in a more upstream zone of the filter in an alternating structure of second filtration medium and second spacer medium with the filtration area facing towards each other (fig. 1-5, col. 2, lines 19-36); the pores of the first filtration medium are smaller than the pores of the second filtration medium (col. 2, lines 19-36); the first filtration medium is cellulose (col. 1, lines 45-50); the first spacer medium is cellulose (col. 1, lines 45-50); the filter further comprises at least one perforated core (1) (fig. 1); the core is metal (col. 3, lines 11-15); the at least one first filtration medium and the at least one spacer medium are overlying one another and spirally surrounding the central core (col. 1, lines 45-50); and the downstream zone of the first filtration medium forms a zone adjacent to the core of at least one round of the first filtration medium (fig. 1).

Claim 125, the filter house of Hunter '897 would inherently comprise at least one entry port for the contaminated fluid to enter and at least one exit for the clean fluid to exit.

Claim 136, Hunter '897 teaches a method of producing a filter, the method comprising, providing a plurality of layers of a first filtration medium with a filtration area and at least one edge, providing a plurality of layer of a spacer medium with a filtration area and at least one edge, organizing the layer of the first filtration medium and the

Art Unit: 1797

layers of the spacer medium with the filtration area of the first filtration medium and the filtration area of the spacer medium facing towards each other to acquire alternate layers of the first filtration medium and the spacer medium and with at least one layer of the first filtration medium having a downstream zone located at a most downstream part of the filter, sealing an edge of at least the most downstream layer of the downstream zone with a first sealing (21), such that the first sealing blocks direct entrance of liquid to be filtered into the edge of the first filtration medium of the downstream zone, sealing at least the edge of one layer of the first filtration medium or the spacer medium with a second sealing (20) in a position upstream of the first sealing and hereby obtaining a filter, providing bypass space (15) upstream of the first sealing or second sealing to allow liquid to exit or enter the edges of the first filtration medium or spacer medium and not providing the filter with a liquid impermeable layer (6) around the filtration area of the layers of the first filtration medium (fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. <u>Claims 109 and 121 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunter '897 and Hunter et al. US 2 537 898.</u>

Art Unit: 1797

Claim 109, Hunter '897 teaches the filter of claim 108 but does not teach the end cap further comprises perforations.

Claim 121, Hunter '897 teaches the end cap is closed in the area of the downstream zone but does not teach the area outside of the inner zone being perforated.

Hunter '898 teaches a filter with an end cap comprising perforations (10) in an area upstream of a sealing (12), such that contaminated fluid can run through the perforations before entering the filtration medium or spacer medium (fig. 1). The claim would have been obvious because the substitution of one known element for another would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007).

6. <u>Claim 123 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunter '897.</u>

Hunter '897 teaches the filter house of claim 135 but does not teach two filter cartridges. Providing two filter cartridges in a housing is known in the filter art to increase capacity and surface area of a filter apparatus and the addition of a second filter cartridge is a mere duplication of parts. Mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced, *In re Harza*, 124 USPQ 378 (1960).

Art Unit: 1797

7. <u>Claim 114 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunter '897 in view of Novak US 5 744 406.</u>

Hunter '897 teaches the filter of claim 113 but does not teach the cellulose fibers are made hydrophobic. Novak teaches making cellulose fabrics hydrophobic by treating them with waxes (col. 3, lines 11-21). The claim would have been obvious because a particular known technique was recognized as part of the ordinary capabilities of one skilled in the art, KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007). It also would have been obvious because making the filter hydrophobic allows the filter to absorb oily substances.

8. <u>Claim 124 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over</u> Hunter '897 in view of Boogay US 4 299 699.

Hunter '897 teaches the filter house of claim 135 but does not teach the filter house comprises a container with an opening means. Boogay teaches a filter house comprising a container and the container has at least one opening means (25) through which the filter cartridge may be changed (fig. 1). Having the housing with an opening for replacement of the filter cartridge is very well known in the filter art. The claim would have been obvious because the technique for improving a particular class of devices was part of the ordinary capabilities of a person of ordinary skill in the art, in view of the

Art Unit: 1797

teaching of the technique for improvement in other situations, KSR International Co. v.

Teleflex Inc., 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007).

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 6/29/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Hunter '897 does not teach the upstream zone with no barrier to liquid to be filtered or a bypass space upstream of the first sealing and/or the second sealing. Hunter '897 teaches an upstream zone at (7) that does not provide a barrier to fluid to be filtered. Also, the spaces (15) constitute bypass spaces where the bypass spaces allow liquid to exit and/or enter the edges of the first filtration medium or spacer medium while bypassing layers of filter material. Also the layer (6) is liquid permeable in that liquid may flow through the holes (7) in the layer (6).

As to the combination of Hunter '897 and Boogay, the combination is proper as Boogay is only relied upon to teach that a filter housing having an opening means to change the filter within the housing is well known in the art. The structure of the filter element is of not consequence as only the structure of the filter housing is referenced in the rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1797

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to BENJAMIN KURTZ whose telephone number is

(571)272-8211. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday

8:00am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Benjamin Kurtz

Examiner

Art Unit 1797

/Krishnan S Menon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797